

m odd $n = m+1$ even.

A family of projective hypersurfaces:

$$t \in \mathbb{P}^1 \quad Y_t \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$

$$Y_t : X_0^{n+1} + \dots + X_n^{n+1} = (n+1)t X_0 \dots X_n$$

Smooth when $t \in T = \mathbb{P}^1 - (\infty \cup \mu_{n+1})$.

On Y_t we have an action of

$$H = \{ (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mu_{n+1}^{n+1} / \prod \eta_i = 1 \}$$

Define V_t , resp. $V[N]_t$, resp. $V_{e,t}$,
resp. $V_{DR,t}$ to be the set of H -invariants
in the cohomology groups:

$$H^{*+1}(Y_t, -) \quad \begin{matrix} \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Q} \\ \mathbb{R} \end{matrix}$$

Facts

- for $t \in T$ these are rank n modules (or vector spaces)
- We have a Galois action on $V[N]_t$ and $V_{e,t}$ for $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ algebraic.
- The Hodge numbers on V_{DR} are $0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Moreover because $n-1$ is odd we have a perfect alternating form

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_t \times V_t &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\
 V[N]_t \times V[N]_t &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})(1-n) \\
 V_{e,t} \times V_{e,t} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_e(1-n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we fix some $t \in T$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(T, t)$ acts (monodromy operation) on V_t and this action is compatible with the symplectic form.

3

So we get an homomorphism :

$$\pi_1(T, t) \longrightarrow Sp(V_t) (\simeq Sp(n, \mathbb{Z}))$$

A key theorem in [HSBT] is the following :

Theorem The image of the above isomorphism
is Zariski-dense in $Sp(V_t \otimes \mathbb{C}) (\simeq Sp_n(\mathbb{C}))$

The proof given in [HSBT] consists in a direct computation of the monodromy around the points of $(\infty \cup \mu_{n+1})$ where the family is not smooth. It also uses some results on hypergeometric groups (Beukers and Heckman).

Using some deep congruence properties of Zariski-dense subgroups due to several people (Nori, Matthews-Vasenstein-Weisfeiler) it is possible to deduce from the above theorem the following one :

Theorem There is a constant $C(n)$ such that if all prime factors of N are $> C$ then the homomorphism

$$\pi_1(T, t) \longrightarrow Sp(V[N]_t)$$

is surjective.

That means philosophically that the family $V[N]_t$, when t varies in T , is "as far as being constant as possible". So it provides "many" mod N representations.

This theorem is used in connection with a theorem of Moret-Bailly which asserts that, given a geometrically irreducible scheme over a number field F , it has a point over some Galois extension F'/F , satisfying some local conditions, provided it is true locally.

Th [MB] Suppose given a number field F , and Z/F a smooth and geometrically connected variety. Let $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ a finite set of places in F , such that S_2 contains only finite places. For each $v \in S_1$, an open non empty set $\Omega_v \subset Z(F_v)$ is given; also for $v \in S_2$ we have an open non empty $\Omega_v \subset Z(F_v^{nr})$ stable under $\text{Gal}(F_v^{nr}/F_v)$. The last datum is a finite extension L/F .

Then there exists a finite Galois F'/F linearly disjoint from L and a point $P \in Z(F')$ such that:

- each $v \in S_1$ is completely split in F' , and for w a place of F' above v ($F'_w \cong F_v$) we have $P \in \Omega_v \subset Z(F'_w)$.

- each $v \in S_2$ is unramified in F' and for w above v ($F'_w \subset F_v^{nr}$, well defined up to $\text{Gal}(F_v^{nr}/F_v)$) we have $P \in \Omega_v \cap Z(F'_w)$.

Here is a rough idea of how these theorems are applied in our context. Suppose $l \neq l' > \mathbb{C}$ are two primes and that $\bar{\sigma}_l$ is a given module l symplectic Galois representation we want to prove to be automorphic.

On the other hand assume that $\bar{\sigma}_{l'}$ is a module l' symplectic Galois representation we know to be automorphic.

Typically $\bar{\sigma}_{l'}$ can be some induced representation from a well-chosen character of a degree n extension.

On $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (T viewed as a line over \mathbb{Q}) we have two symplectic local systems: One is $V[l]_t \times V[l']_t = V[ll']_t$ and the other comes from $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}$ where $\bar{\sigma}_l \times \bar{\sigma}_{l'}$ defines a local system.

Define

$$Z = \underline{\text{Isom}}_T^{\text{Sym}} \left(\underline{\bar{\sigma}_e \times \bar{\sigma}_{e'}} , \underline{V[ee']} \right)$$

This is defined over \mathbb{Q} and an étale covering of T . In down to earth terms, to give a point of Z over some algebraic number field F' amounts to the datum

$$\text{of } t \in T(F') = F' \setminus \mu_{n+1}$$

and of two symplectic isomorphisms compatible with $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F')$:

$$\bar{\sigma}_e \xrightarrow{\sim} V[e]_t \quad \bar{\sigma}_{e'} \xrightarrow{\sim} V[e']_t$$

Over \mathbb{C} the sheaf $\bar{\sigma}_e \times \bar{\sigma}_{e'}$ becomes trivial so $Z_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with

$$\underline{\text{Isom}}_{T_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\text{Sym}} \left(\underline{\mathbb{C}/ee'\mathbb{C}} , \underline{V[ee']} \right)$$

And this is an étale Galois covering of \mathbb{C} with Galois group

$Sp(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\ell'\mathbb{Z})$. Because of the above theorem or the surjectivity of the monodromy we see that Z_ℓ is connected.

Then the Mordell-Baily theorem can be applied, provided some local conditions are checked (.....)

\hookrightarrow This gives F' $t \in F'$ and isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} V[\ell]_t &\simeq \bar{\sigma}_\ell & \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F') \\ V[\ell']_t &\simeq \bar{\sigma}_{\ell'} & \text{inv} \end{aligned}$$

Then (modulo a lot of hypothesis that are not so easy to check) we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\sigma}_{\ell'} \text{ aut} & \xrightarrow{\text{ALT}} & V[\ell']_t \text{ aut} \\ & & \Downarrow \text{(compatible system)} \\ & & V[\ell]_t \text{ aut} \xrightarrow{\text{Red}} \bar{\sigma}_\ell \text{ aut} \end{array}$$

This is the rough idea but cannot in general be applied directly to the representation $\bar{\rho}_m$ we are interested in.

We can fulfill the local condition at ℓ under the hypothesis that

$$\bar{\rho}_\ell |_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} \simeq 1 \oplus \epsilon_\ell^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \bar{\epsilon}_\ell^{1-g}$$

\uparrow
 cyclotomic

But in general we only have a filtration with subquotients ϵ_ℓ^{-i} : this is already the case for ρ_1 .

One preliminary operation we have to perform is a "change of prime" at the level of the original elliptic curve E and then apply the idea above.

For this purpose one applies MB theorem to a certain space of elliptic curves to find another E' and ρ'

such that:

- $H^1(E', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_e) = 1 \oplus \overline{\omega}_e^{-1}$ above condition.

- $H^1(E', \mathbb{F}_e) \simeq H^1(E, \mathbb{F}_e)$ as Gal. modules (after maybe a field extension).

Because the above condition is fulfilled by E', e' we have that

$\text{Sym}^m H^1(E', \overline{\mathbb{F}}_e)$ is automorphic.

\Downarrow ALT

$\text{Sym}^m H^1(E', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_e)$ aut.

\Downarrow compatible system

$\text{Sym}^m H^1(E', \mathbb{Q}_e)$ aut.

\Downarrow

$\text{Sym}^m H^1(E', \mathbb{F}_e)$ aut.

"

$\text{Sym}^m H^1(E, \mathbb{F}_e)$

All this constitutes a sophisticated generalization of Wiles' original change of prime method (3-5 or $l-p'$ trick)



The aim of this last lecture is to give some hints about the proof of the automorphy lifting theorems.

▶ Original Taylor-Wiles method (improved by many people).

Start from $\bar{\rho} : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_l)$
 be a "modular" Galois representation, attached to a mod l modular form \bar{f} .

There exists (Mazur) an universal ring \underline{R} which is universal for deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ over artinian local rings A

$$\rho : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A) \quad (\text{modulo } \mathfrak{m}_A).$$

Rather than this huge ring one considers more reasonable quotients

R_S corresponding to representations satisfying

some local conditions (in particular at l)
and with prescribed ramification S .
extra ramification

On the other hand we consider \mathbb{T}_S
a Hecke algebra (associated to forms of
a certain type and prescribed conductor)
localized at a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}
corresponding to $\bar{\rho}$ (Ker. of $\begin{matrix} \mathbb{T} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbb{F}_e} \\ \mathbb{T}_p & \longrightarrow & \bar{a}_p \end{matrix}$)

Finally the classical Eichler-Shimura
- Deligne construction (using modular
curves) associates to each modular
eigenform f a corresponding 2 -dimensional
 l -adic representation. This works also
over rings and gives a representation

$$\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_S).$$

By universality of \mathbb{R}_S we finally
get a map

$$\mathbb{R}_S \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_S$$

which is easily seen to be surjective.

The problem is to prove that it is in fact bijective, provided of course that a series of hypothesis is satisfied.

TW proof goes in two steps:

Step 1 Proof of the theorem in the "minimal" case $S = \emptyset$ which means that f is not more ramified than \hat{f} .

$$R_{\emptyset} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\emptyset}$$

The proof goes as follows: for each N one adds a certain number of primes $P \in \mathbb{Q}_N$ ($\equiv 1 \pmod{2^N}$) to kill relations at the limit

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}_N} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_N}$$

$$R_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\infty}$$

essentially because we get smooth maps

of the same dimension.

Step 2 minimal case \rightarrow general case
 using a lemma of Ihara which allows
 to control how things vary as the ramification
 increases.

In the first article [CHT] they followed
 the same ideas. Step 1 is OK but
 Step 2 needs a conjectural generalization
 of Ihara's lemma which was never proved.

==

Let me first explain Step 0, the construction
 of Galois representations and some related
 things.

Autodual $(\pi^V \simeq \pi \otimes \chi)$
 automorphic representations
 of GL_n *

↑ "Base change"
 ↓

Automorphic representations
 of forms of unitary **
 groups

↙ of ∞ type $(-1, n-1)$

↘ of ∞ type $(0, n) \rightarrow$ for the deformation theory

$(n \text{ dim } \ell\text{-adic representations})^*$

Shimura varieties
 (= Picard varieties)

* Sometimes of a CM extension

** $B/E/\mathbb{Q}$ division algebra with an involution of the 2nd kind $\{bb^\dagger = 1\}$ with a finite place such that $B_\mathfrak{p}$ is compact.

$\overline{\mathbb{T}}_S$ localized Hecke algebra as above
(for a unitary group of ∞ type $(0, n)$)

R_S universal ring which classify
automodal deformations of \overline{P} satisfying
certain conditions (in particular crystalline
at l) and with prescribed ramification.

As above we get a surjective map:

$$R_S \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{T}}_S$$

Step 1 $R_\phi \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_\phi \quad \text{OK}$

Step 2 $??$

► Taylor's first new idea.

Instead of starting from the minimal
case (best situation) he starts from
the worst one, where the difference

Between the ramification of \bar{P} and that of P is as big as possible i.e.

\bar{P} unramified

P with unipotent ramification* at

some places v ($q_v \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$).

$$* \text{ for } \sigma \in I_v : P(\sigma) = \exp(\text{te}(\sigma)N).$$

By base change (restriction) we can always reduce to this case.

Local lifting problem (ideas of Kisin)

Look at liftings of $\bar{P} / \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v / F_v)$.

Such a lifting is necessarily tame and

so given by two matrices

ϕ image of Frobenius

Σ image of a generator of tame inertia

such that Σ is unipotent and such that

$$\phi \Sigma \phi^{-1} = \Sigma^{q_v}$$

There is a slight subtle difference between (global) deformations and (local) liftings: the first ones are given modulo conjugacy.

We have a very explicit ring \mathcal{L}_0 representing local liftings (as above), and a ring \mathcal{R} representing global deformations.

Because of the subtlety mentioned above there do not exist a functor:

global deformations \rightarrow local liftings

To get such a thing we have to rigidify at v the global deformation \mathcal{P} .

\mathcal{R}^\square classifying framed deformations.

Then we do have a map:

$$\otimes \mathcal{L}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^\square$$

Corresponding objects \mathbb{I}^\square A^\square (space of aut.-forms)

add Taylor-Wiles primes, go to the limit. We finally get the following picture:

$$\text{Kisin} \quad \text{Diamond-Fujiwara}$$

$$\otimes_{\mathcal{L}_v} [z_1, \dots, z_n] \simeq R_{\infty}^{\square} \left(\longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\infty}^{\square} \right) \hookrightarrow A_{\infty}^{\square}$$

One has to show that

$$\text{Supp}(A_{\infty}^{\square}) = \text{Spec } R_{\infty}^{\square}$$

It is possible to compute the dimension of this support but this is not enough because \mathcal{L}_v (and R_{∞}^{\square}) may have several irreducible components.

▶ Taylor's second idea.

Compare the above deformation rings with other ones.

$$\text{instead of } \sum \text{unipotent} \longrightarrow \sum \text{with ch. polynomial}$$

$$(X-1)^n = 0 \quad (X-\lambda_1)(X-\lambda_2)\dots(X-\lambda_n)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are distinct l -th roots of 1.

With this new moduli pb we get rings

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\infty^\square \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\infty \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\infty^\square.$$

Note that everything coincide mod. l .

The following proposition is obtained by using a sophisticated version of Jordan reduction theory of matrices over rings.

Even if it is not so easy to prove it is a statement in "classical" algebraic geometry.

Prop (a) $\text{Spec } \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty$ is irreducible of dim. $1+n^2$.

(b) Each irreducible component of the special fiber $\text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty \otimes \mathbb{F}_l)$ is contained in a unique irreducible component of $\text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$. Conversely every component of $\text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\infty)$ contains an irreducible component of the special fiber.

Now it is time to conclude.

• Because of (a) things work for the rings $\tilde{*}$:

The support of \tilde{A}_∞^\square is $\text{Spec } \tilde{R}_\infty$.

• Because the special fibers are the same, $\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}_\infty \otimes \mathbb{F}_e)$ is included in the support of \tilde{A}_∞^\square .

• Using (b) one finally sees that the support is the whole of $\text{Spec}(\tilde{R}_\infty)$.
